

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 5760 PM4 09/778,234 02/06/2001 Mario Kovac **EXAMINER** 31718 7590 11/03/2004 BELASCO, JACOBS & TOWNSLEY LLP SRIVASTAVA, VIVEK HOWARD HUGHES CENTER **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 6100 CENTER DRIVE **SUITE 630** 2611 LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
4	09/778,234	KOVAC ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Vivek Srivastava	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b))⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National St	age
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/20/01.)-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 09/778,234

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim is objected to because of the following informalities: line 9 has a typographical error, the recited "UNPROTECTED_BOCK" should be recited as "UNPROTECTED_BLOCK". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said playback means" in lines 16 - 17. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because the claim language prior to lines 16 – 17 recite "special playback means".

Claim 1 lines 3 - 4 recites "...and a block including said content, and said advertising embedded in each other". This claim language is indefinite because it is not

Application/Control Number: 09/778,234

Art Unit: 2611

clear if the advertising is embedded with the content or if the advertising is embedded with other advertising i.e. each other.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "said playback device" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim because the claim language prior to line 5 recites "special playback device".

Claim 10 lines 5 – 6 recites the limitation "UNPROTECTED_BLOCK including said content, and said advertising embedded in each other." This claim language is indefinite because it is not clear if the advertising is embedded with the content or if the advertising is embedded with other advertising i.e. each other.

Claim 10 is a method claim comprising steps. The claim first recites in lines 9 – 14 (step b) a playback device with two steps designed to i. Separate said advertising and ii. Playback said UNPROTECTED_BLOCK. Step C, the next step in the method recites distributing said file to playback device. These steps seem to be out of order since the file must be transmitted to the playback device before the steps of separation or playback can be performed.

Claims 2 – 9 and 11 – 20 are rejected for being dependent on a rejected base claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,234

Art Unit: 2611

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Eldering et al (6,704930) – Advertisement insertion techniques

Rosser (6,446,261) – Targeted electronic insertion of Indicia

Eyer et al (6,588,015) – Broadcast interactive digital radio

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivek Srivastava whose telephone number is (703) 305-4038. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 9 am to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (703) 305-4755. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vs

10/28/04

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA
PRIMARY EXAMINER